

EXHIBIT F

----- Original Message -----

From: John Spadaro
To: Yu, James ; Hahn, Andrew
Cc: Heather Jones
Sent: Wednesday, November 3, 2010 11:51 AM
Subject: Re: Shady Grove v. Allstate; continued negotiations on file sampling

Andy and James:

From what Andy told me during our October 29, 2010 teleconference, the draft confidentiality order that James provided to me by e-mail on October 27 (and which I reviewed and commented upon the same day) does not constitute Allstate's proposed confidentiality order. May I please have the document that Allstate intends to propose for use as a confidentiality order? Thank you. John

----- Original Message -----

From: John Spadaro
To: Yu, James ; Hahn, Andrew
Cc: Heather Jones
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 7:29 PM
Subject: Re: Shady Grove v. Allstate; continued negotiations on file sampling

James:

I had a just a few changes, shown by the redlined attachment. However, one point was not immediately clear to me: am I correct that under the terms of this order, my paralegal and I (as opposed to experts, consultants, etc.) would not have to sign the contemplated Exhibit A? John

----- Original Message -----

From: Yu, James
To: jspadaro@johnsheehanspadaro.com ; Hahn, Andrew
Cc: Heather Jones
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 4:37 PM
Subject: RE: Shady Grove v. Allstate; continued negotiations on file sampling

John:

Attached is a draft confidentiality and protective order for your review and consideration.

James

From: jspadaro@johnsheehanspadaro.com [mailto:jspadaro@johnsheehanspadaro.com]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 11:00 AM
To: Hahn, Andrew; John Spadaro; Yu, James
Cc: Heather Jones
Subject: Re: Shady Grove v. Allstate; continued negotiations on file sampling